1 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR 19-5384 RJB 11 ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOSE ARREGUIN-SANCHEZ, 15 16 Defendant. 17 18 Based on General Orders No. 01-20 and No. 02-20, the Court determines that trial in 19 this case cannot proceed on the currently scheduled date of April 27, 2020. For the reasons 20 detailed in General Order No. 02-20, the ends of justice served by a continuance outweigh 21 the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), 22 (B)(i), (iv). 23 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date and other dates shall be continued. 24 The trial date of April 27, 2020, and all other upcoming dates in this case, including 25 the March 19, 2020, pretrial motion deadline, are hereby VACATED. 26 The parties shall file a Joint Status Report on or before June 1, 2020, with an agreed

proposed schedule for a trial date and all pretrial deadlines. If the parties do not agree on a

proposed schedule, each side shall set forth, in a single report, separate proposals for the trial

27

28

date and pretrial deadlines.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between today's date and June 1, 2020, is excluded in computing the time within which trial must commence because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Failure to order this continuance would likely make trial impossible and result in a miscarriage of justice, and would deny counsel for the defendant and government counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *Id.* § (B)(i), (iv).

Dated this 20th day of March, 2020.

ROBERT J. BRYAN

United States District Judge

Order Continuing Trial – 2